

United States Patent and Trademark Office

M/

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/760,204	01/21/2004	Kia Silverbrook	RRA19US	1337	
24011	7590 03/09/2006		EXAMINER		
SILVERBROOK RESEARCH PTY LTD			UHLENHAK	UHLENHAKE, JASON S	
BALMAIN,	NG STREET NSW 2041		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
AUSTRALÍ	A		2853		
			DATE MAILED: 03/09/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/760,204	SILVERBROOK, KIA		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Jason Uhlenhake	2853		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHOWHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES and STATE AND ASSOCIATION OF THE MAILING DATES AND ASSOCIATION OF THE MAILING ASSOCIATION OF THE MAILING	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
2a) <u></u> □	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 February 2006</u> . 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or				
Applicati	on Papers				
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 11 July 2005 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)			
3) Inform	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/760,204 Page 2

Art Unit: 2853

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 – 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shinada et al (U.S. Pat. 6,547,363) in view of Matsui et al (U.S. Pat. 6,644,801) and Miyazawa et al (U.S. Pub. 2002/0158948).

Shinada et al discloses:

- **regarding claim 1,** a body complementary to each of a number of removable inkjet cartridges each of having an ink supply and each having different performance characteristics (Figure 1; Column 2, Lines 30 35, 53 59)
- a controller arranged to determine the performance characteristics of each of said number of cartridges once coupled to said cradle and to operate each said cartridge in response to the determined performance characteristics (Column 2, Lines 30 35; Column 3, Lines 29 39)
- **regarding claim 3,** the body includes a recess (1) receive any one of the number of removable inkjet cartridges (Figures 1, 2)

Shinada et al does not disclose expressly:

- regarding claim 1, having a pagewidth printhead

- **regarding claim 2,** the controller is arranged to operate each of a number of removable inkjet cartridges having performance characteristics which differ by one or more of: optimum printing speed, ink capacity

Matsui et al discloses:

regarding claim 1, having a pagewidth printhead (Column 11, Lines 18 –
 24), for the purpose of increasing printing speed.

Miyazawa et al discloses:

- **regarding claim 2,** the controller is arranged to operate each of a number of removable inkjet cartridges having performance characteristics which differ by one or more of: optimum printing speed, ink capacity (Paragraph 0010, Claims 12 and 14), for the purpose of increasing the capacity of ink and improving the quality of printing.

At the time the invention was made it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the teaching of having a pagewidth printhead; the controller is arranged to operate each of a number of removable inkjet cartridges having performance characteristics which differ by one or more of: optimum printing speed, ink capacity as taught by Matsui et al and Miyazawa et al into the device of Shinada et al. The motivation for doing so would have been to increase printing speed and increase the capacity of ink and improving the quality of printing.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 - 3 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Please see the above rejections of

Art Unit: 2853

Shinada et al, Matsui et al and Miyazawa et al. They disclose a pagewidth printhead with a controller that is arranged to operate each of a number of removable inkjet cartridges having performance characteristics, which differ by one or more of: optimum printing speed, ink capacity

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason Uhlenhake whose telephone number is (571) 272-5916. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Meier can be reached on (571) 272-2149. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JSU March 2, 2006

PRIMARY EXAMINER